



FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT –A.Y-2022-23

The feedback on curriculum along with other aspects is collected and analyzed for Internal Quality Assurance. The feedback form are designed on curriculum development. The feedback from students on learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspective), applicability/relevance to real life situations, depth of the Course content, extent of coverage of course, Internal Assessment procedure etc. is taken and analyzed for development. We started collecting curriculum feedback from Faculty Academic Year 2022-23. The year-wise analysis of student's feedback on the curriculum is presented below:

Sr.no	Academic year	Total Number of students	Total feedback
01	2022-23	16	16

Analysis of feedback

Que. No.	Feedback Questions	Average rating	Remarks
1	How well does the Board of studies (BOS)ensure the up-to-date and relevance of the programs being offered?	3.8	Quite Sufficient
2	How effectively does the college provide the necessary infrastructure for implementing the curriculum?	3.8	Quite Sufficient
3	How well does the college provide the necessary infrastructure for implementing the curriculum?	4	Quite Sufficient
4	To what extent do you feel that faculty members are granted the freedom to contribute ideas to curriculum design and development?	3.8	Quite Sufficient
5	How effective do you find the university's system for the design and development of curriculum?	3.8	Quite Sufficient
6	To what extent has the curriculum been regularly updated over time?	4.1	Very sufficient
7	How effectively is the syllabus reviewed during departmental committee meetings?	4.2	Very sufficient
8	How well are faculty development programs organized to align with the new syllabus?	4.1	Very sufficient
9	How accessible are the books, journals and other reference materials listed or prescribed in the curriculum, within the library?	3.6	Quite Sufficient
10	How much freedom is provided to adopt new techniques and strategies for testing and accessing students?	3.8	Quite Sufficient
11	How relevant do you find the syllabus in the Architectural field to the current scenario?	3.8	Quite Sufficient
12	Do you believe that the depth of the course content is sufficient for the coverage of the subject/topic?	3.8	Quite Sufficient
13	Do you think that the prescribed contact hours in the syllabus are sufficient for the timely completion of the curriculum?	3.8	Quite Sufficient
14	Please share any additional comments/remarks you have regarding the formulation and implementation of the curriculum at YCA, Satara.	NO	-

Insufficient-1to2 , Neutral-3, Quite Sufficient-3to4, Very Sufficient-above 4.



FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT - Faculty

Sr No	Feedback Questions	Avg rating 2017-18	Avg rating 2018-19	Avg rating 2019-20	Avg rating 2020-21	Avg rating 2021-22	Avg rating 2022-23	Remarks
1	Board of Studies (BOS) taking care to ensure the up to date and relevance of the programs being offered.	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.2	Good
2	Employability & Cross cutting issues are reflected in curriculum.	3.4	3.4	3.6	3.6	3.6	4.1	Good
3	Infrastructure is available in the college to implement the curriculum.	3.5	3.7	3.9	3.3	4.1	4.3	Good
4	whether the faculty is given enough freedom to contribute the ideas on curriculum design and development.	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.7	4.2	Good
5	The system followed by the university for the design and development of curriculum is effective.	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.3	Good
6	The curriculum has been updated from time to time.	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.4	4	Good
7	The Syllabus is reviewed in the Departmental committee meeting.	3.8	3.9	4.0	4.0	4.1	4.2	Good
8	Faculty Development Programs are arranged on the new Syllabus	3.1	3.4	3.5	3.0	4.0	4.2	Good
9	The books/journals etc. Prescribed/listed as reference materials is available in the Library.	3.2	3.4	3.6	3.0	3.8	4.1	Good
10	Freedom is given to adopt new techniques/strategies of testing and assessment of students.	4.4	4.6	4.7	3.7	4.7	4.2	Good
11	Any Other remark on the curriculum formulation /implementation at Yashoda College of Architecture, Satara	4.2	4.2	4.2	3.9	4.2	4	Good



**YSPM's
Yashoda College of Architecture**

12	Relevance of syllabus with current scenario in Architectural Field.	3.1	3.3	3.3	3.1	3.5	4.4	Good
13	Is the depth of course content, sufficient for coverage of Subject/topic.	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.3	4.0	4	Good
14	Is the prescribed contact hours in syllabus sufficient for timely completion of syllabus.	3.3	3.6	3.7	3.0	3.8	4.2	Good

FEEDBACK FROM FACULTY:

The feedback on curriculum along with other aspects is collected and analyzed for Internal Quality Assurance. The feedback form are designed on curriculum development. The feedback from students on learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspective), applicability/relevance to real life situations, depth of the Course content, extent of coverage of course, Internal Assessment procedure etc. is taken and analyzed for development. We started collecting curriculum feedback from Faculty . The year-wise analysis of student's feedback on the curriculum is presented below:

Sr.no	Academic year	Total Number of students	Total feedback
01	2017-18	15	14
02	2018-19	15	15
03	2019-20	15	15
04	2020-21	15	15
05	2021-22	15	15
06	2022-23	16	16

Analysis of feedback

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5, Average – 2.5 to 3.5 Good – 3.5 to 4.5 Excellent.- above 4.5

