YSPM's
Yashoda College of Architecture

FACULTY FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT –A.Y-2023-24

The feedback on curriculum along with other aspects is collected and analyzed for Internal Quality Assurance. The feedback form are designed on curriculum development. The feedback from students on learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities, or broadening perspective), applicability/relevance to real life situations, depth of the Course content, extent of coverage of course, Internal Assessment procedure etc. is taken and analyzed for development. We started collecting curriculum feedback from Faculty Academic Year 2023-24. The year-wise analysis of student's feedback on the curriculum is presented below:

Sr.no	Academic year	Total Number of students	Total feedback
01	2023-24	15	15

Analysis of feedback

Que. No.	Feedback Questions	Average rating	Remarks
1	How well does the Board of Studies (BOS) ensure the up-to- date and relevance of the programs being offered?	4.0	Good
2	How effectively does the curriculum reflect employability and crosscutting issues?	3.2	Average
3	How well does the college provide the necessary infrastructure for implementing the curriculum?	3.7	Good
4	To what extent do you feel that faculty members are granted the freedom to contribute ideas to curriculum design and development?	3.6	Good
5	How effective do you find the university's system for the design and development of curriculum?	3.1	Average
6	To what extent has the curriculum been regularly updated over time?	3.2	Average
7	How effectively is the syllabus reviewed during departmental committee meetings.	3.5	Good
8	How well are faculty development programs organized to align with the new syllabus?	3.5	Good
9	How accessible are the books, journals, and other reference materials listed or prescribed in the curriculum, within the library?	3.7	Good
10	How much freedom is provided to adopt new techniques and strategies for testing and assessing students?	3.5	Good
11	How relevant do you find the syllabus in the Architectural field to the current scenario?	3.1	Average
12	Do you believe that the depth of the course content is sufficient for the coverage of the subject/topic?	3.4	Average
13	Do you think that the prescribed contact hours in the syllabus are sufficient for the timely completion of the curriculum?	3.3	Average
14	Please share any additional comments/remarks you have regarding the formulation and implementation of the curriculum at Yashoda College of Architecture, Satara	0.0	

Below Average - 1.5 to 2.5, Average – 2,5 to 3.5, Good – 3,5to 4.5, Excellent. - above 4.5

IQAC Co-ordinator
Yashoda College of Architecture,
Satara

Principal
Yashoda College of Architecture